What did stakeholders say about: Administrative Order on Consent (AOC)?

[The] AOC should be modified to allow a risk-based cleanup that would greatly reduce the amount of the soil to be excavated and thus mitigate many of the problems. (1) Citizen's Advisory Group for SSFL (CAG)

... defining the Purpose and Need solely according to the restrictions set forth in the 2010 AOC, [limits] the range of reasonable and feasible soil cleanup alternatives ... (2) Los Angeles-Ventura Cultural Research Alliance (LanVen)

<u>NASA committed</u> (2007 Consent Order and 2010 AOC) to a course of cleanup activities that has the potential to cause adverse effects to historic properties at SSFL. (2.3) State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)

... the DEIS should make[the 2010 AOC] decision more transparent ... (4) LanVen

DTSC must provide NASA with an authoritative and <u>binding interpretation of the [vague] language of the AOC</u>. (S.1) Santa Susana Mountain Park Association (SSMPA)

... <u>political pressures</u> ... gave rise to NASA agreeing to the AOC in the first place. (2.1) San Fernando Valley Audubon Society (SFVAS)

<u>The AOC allows for ... changes</u> if accepted by both parties ... A <u>Modification in Principle</u> alternative is being proposed that would maintain the AOC and allow agreed changes. (1) CAG

SFVAS favors [throwing out the AOC entirely], as it is <u>unlikely that modification [of the AOC] will correct</u> the many flaws in the AOC, which underlies much of the purpose and need of the action. (1.3) SFVAS

... [N]o formal, public study of the environmental impacts of the "2010 agreement on consent" (AOC) agreement was completed. (2) Resource Conservation District of the Santa Monica Mountains (RCDSMM)

The SSFL cleanup was forced to be uniquely different from other projects, because the <u>AOC was signed before any EIS</u>type document. (1.f) SSMPA

[S]imilar to the NASA Inspector General, we too, have great difficulty seeing that cleanup to <u>these special AOC</u> <u>standards</u> is of <u>any tangible benefit</u>. But we certainly see the <u>detriment to our community</u> and <u>the huge governmental</u> <u>costs</u> we will pay as taxpayers. (cc.5) SSMPA

Compiled by SSMPA October 16, 2013